Past Performance Cover Letter for _____

Attachment L-4

Past Performance Cover Letter and Questionnaire

Dear "Client":		
We are currently responding to the Department of Energy (DOE) Request for Proposals		

We are currently responding to the Department of Energy (DOE) Request for Proposals No. 89303320REM000066, Moab Remedial Action Contract at the Moab Site and disposal site in Moab, Utah and Crescent Junction, Utah, respectively.

The solicitation places emphasis on past performance as a source selection factor. In addition to requesting the attached Questionnaire be completed, the Government is requiring that clients of entities responding to the solicitation be identified and their participation in the evaluation process be requested. In the event you are contacted for information by the Government on work we have performed, you are hereby authorized to respond to those inquiries.

We are asking for your assistance in completing the attached questionnaire and forwarding to the DOE to aid in its evaluation of our past performance.

Please return the completed questionnaire within ten (10) calendar days, via email, to:

michael.forsgren@emcbc.doe.gov

MoabRAC@emcbc.doe.gov

Please mark within the email:

"SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101 and FAR 3.104" and

"In Reply To: Solicitation No. 89303320REM000066"

Past Performance Questionnaire

A. Referenced Contract and Client Information

Referenced Contract and Client Information

Name of Company Being Evaluated:	
Contract Number and Title Being Evaluated:	
Assessment Period for which PPQ covers Company's performance:	
Evaluator's Name:	
Evaluator's Address:	
Evaluator's Phone & Email:	
Evaluator's Organization:	
Evaluator's role in the management of the contract*:	

B. Rating Scale and Definitions

Rating Scale and Definitions

Rating	Definition	Note
Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Client's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were highly effective.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were of benefit to the Client. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been no significant problems identified.

^{*} The reference point of contact completing and submitting the questionnaire must be the appropriate contract client reference within the Program Office/Project Office and/or the Contracting Office. Only one questionnaire should be submitted per contract reflecting a coordinated response.

Rating Scale and Definitions

Rating	Definition	Note
Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Client's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor were effective.	To justify a Very Good rating, identify a significant event and state how it was a benefit to the Client. There should have been no significant problems identified.
Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the Contractor appear or were satisfactory.	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the Contractor recovered from without impact to the contract/order. There should have been NO significant problems identified. Note: The Contractor should not be evaluated with a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.
Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the Contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The Contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	To justify Marginal performance, identify a significant event in each category that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Client. A Marginal rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the Contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency report or letter).
Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the Contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the Contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Client. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the Contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency reports, or letters).

C. Assessment Areas

Please provide explanatory narratives to support your ratings.

1. Quality of Product or Service

Example: How well did the Contractor provide services that met the terms of the contract? How technically accurate were the Contractor deliverables? What was the quality level of the Contractor deliverables? How well did the Contractor perform the contract services in a safe manner?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

2. Schedule Compliance

Example: How well did the Contractor provide timely services in accordance with contract schedules? How well did the Contractor take measures to minimize delays that were within its control?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

3. Cost Control

Example: How well did the Contractor control its costs?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

4. Business Relations

Example: How well did the Contractor interface with you to address requests, complaints, and inquiries? If given the choice, would you select this Contractor again to perform your required services?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

5. Management of Key Personnel/Staffing

Example: How well did the Contractor allocate the appropriate personnel resources to meet customer needs? How well did the Contractor provide staff on short notice for quick turnaround of personnel?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

6. Utilization of Small Business

Example: How well did the Contractor allocate subcontracting opportunities to small businesses?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
	Good				Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

7. Regulatory Compliance

Example: How well did the Contractor comply with all terms and conditions in the contract relating to applicable regulations and codes considering compliance with financial, environmental, safety, and labor regulations as well as any other reporting requirements.

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exceptional	Very	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	Not	Do Not
_	Good	•		-	Applicable	Know

Supporting Narrative:

We greatly appreciate your time and assistance in completing this questionnaire.

Additional Comments: